

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

_____)	
<u>United States</u>)	
_____)	
_____)	CR. 99-155
vs.)	CR. 00-08
_____)	
<u>FRANK COPE, JR.</u>)	
_____)	
Defendants)	

HEARING ON violation of supervised release

Before Judge Cahill

Jim Love, AUSA

Penn Hackney, AFPS

Appear for Plaintiff

Appear for Defendant

Hearing Begun 11:00 a

Hrg Adjourned to _____

Hrg concluded C.A.V. 11:30 a

Stenographer PATRICIA SHERMAN

WITNESSES

For Plaintiff

For Defendant

Defendant does not contest facts of Petition on Supervised Release,
Defendant points out that Court found Defendant's Criminal History
to be III at sentencing on March 28, 2000.

Court finds it established by a preponderance of the evidence that
defendant violated the conditions of his supervised release. The Court
revokes the defendant's supervised release and imposes a sentence
of 18 months, with no additional supervised release,

This term of imprisonment is imposed with credit for
time served since coming into federal custody
on October 30, 2006.